Financial and Risk Management Analysis of the Education Sector in Southeast Texas During Hurricane Harvey

Gevorg Sargsyan Lamar University

Enrique Venta Lamar University

James Slaydon Lamar University

Ricardo Colon Lamar University

Paul Latiolais Lamar University

A well-developed education system is important for local economic growth. Without an educated and skilled labor force, local economic growth is almost impossible. This is one of the reasons why the education sector has the support and attention of various public and private stakeholders. Risks and interruptions of activities of educational institutions can have long-term effects on this sector and on the economy in general. Natural disasters are frequent in Southeast Texas and can have the potential to disrupt normal activities of schools and colleges including the financial sustainability of educational institutions. This study analyzes how risks were managed during natural disasters in the education sector of Southeast Texas. The second area of research focuses on understanding the financial resiliency and recovery of educational institutions in this region.

Keywords: financial analysis, risk management, higher education institutions, school districts

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Education is very important in the economy of Southeast Texas. According to the Texas Workforce Commission, employment in the education sector as a percentage of total employment in Hardin, Jefferson, and Orange counties was 13.29%, 7.1%, and 11.87%, respectively (Texas Labor Market Highlights, 2019). In 2018 there were 22,199 and 66,842 students enrolled in higher education and school districts of Southeast Texas, respectively. The share of educational services, health care, and social assistance in Gross State Product of Beaumont - Port Arthur MSA was \$1,469,559,000 (U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of

Economic Analysis, 2019). While the share of GDP in many sectors of the economy declined since 2008, the education sector grew steadily and the ten-year (2008-2018) growth of education services in GDP was 12.2%, 4.8%, and 7.3% in Hardin, Jefferson, and Orange counties, respectively.

"The data of the National Hurricane Center shows that the Southeast Texas region is vulnerable to frequent tropical storms and hurricanes. Since 2000 Texas saw more than three dozen tropical depressions, tropical storms, and hurricanes. The major storms in this period include Allison (2001), Rita (2005), Ike (2008), Harvey (2017), and Imelda (2019). These storms affected Southeast Texas dramatically. The following table of the value of economic and insured loss shows the negative impact of these storms." (Sargsyan et al., 2020)

TABLE 1 MAJOR STORMS IN TEXAS AND FINANCIAL LOSSES

#	Storm	Year	Economic loss	Insured loss
1	Allison	2001	\$ 12.0 billion	\$ 5 billion
2	Rita	2005	\$ 23.9 billion	\$ 11 billion
3	Ike	2008	\$ 43.0 billion	\$ 21 billion
4	Harvey	2017	\$ 125.0 billion	\$ 30 billion

Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts web page

As the table shows about 25% of the losses from Hurricane Harvey were covered by insurance. While insurance is an important financial risk management tool, this research will not consider insurance in detail. Instead, the paper focuses on the discovery of innovative and alternative management practices used in the education sector to mitigate risk.

RESEARCH METHODS

To complete a financial and risk management analysis of the education sector in Southeast Texas during Hurricane Harvey this study uses two research methods. First, the researchers use the Participatory Analysis of Risk Management (PARM) tool designed by Sargsyan et al. (2020) to understand the managerial practices of risk management in the education sector of Southeast Texas. Readers are referred to that paper for a complete discussion of the PARM methodology. A brief description of the methodology is presented below.

The second method does a comparative analysis of financial data of higher education institutions and school districts of Hardin, Jefferson, and Orange counties of Southeast Texas during the specific time period surrounding Hurricane Harvey. The goal is to determine the financial resiliency and financial recovery of higher education institutions and school districts of the region.

IMPLICATION OF PARTICIPATORY ANALYSIS OF RISK MANAGEMENT (PARM) METHODOLOGY TO THE EDUCATION SECTOR OF SOUTHEAST TEXAS

Natural disasters are frequent in Southeast Texas and therefore there is a necessity for participatory local economic recovery plans. "Successful local economic development is based on collective action and involves a partnership between the public and private sector." (Mayer-Stamer, 2006) Risk management, recovery, and resiliency of the education sector in Southeast Texas might also require community collective action plans. "Successful initiatives have a common characteristic: shared understanding." (Porter, 2000) The recovery and preparedness of the education sector from natural disasters like Hurricane Harvey should start with planning to manage risks in the future. Strategic planning of risk management is supported by

Blakely and Bradshaw in their classic approach to local economic development (Blakely and Bradshaw, 2002). According to the World Bank "local economic development should always begin with the formulation of a strategy." (World Bank, 2003)

"Active government participation in a privately led effort, rather than an initiative controlled by the government, will have a better chance of success." (Porter, 2000) Mayer-Stamer concludes that the top-down approach "is no longer pursued a variety of reasons. One of the most important ones is the inability of governments to conduct such activities due to a lack of funds. Another important reason is that, in leading industrialized countries, development policies are successfully formulated and implemented at the local and regional level."

This study uses the Participatory Analysis of Risk Management (PARM) methodology adapted by Sargsyan et al. (2020) from the Participatory Appraisal of Competitive Advantage (PACA) tool (Mayer-Stamer, 2006).

PARM method helped to come up with the risk management practices of recovery and resiliency from natural disasters. The other aim of this tool is to diagnose challenges and past experiences that local stakeholders had during previous disasters to avoid big losses next time. This comprehensive analysis tool provided us the opportunity to analyze practices of risk management in the education sector of Southeast Texas during Hurricane Harvey. The three core elements of the PARM methodology are:

- Participatory approach, the involvement of local stakeholders in research.
- Analysis, a careful investigation of resiliency and recovery efforts.
- Risk management, identification of practices.

This methodology can help to analyze practices of risk management of the education sector. PARM has the capacity to analyze the post-disaster situation and provide valuable data for the successful strategic planning of educational institutions. The participatory approach can help develop concrete strategies for resiliency and recovery in a quick and effective manner. The recovery and resiliency of this sector relies on efficient public-private active collaboration and engagement.

The PARM methodology proceeds through four stages:

- Research team workshop (preparatory phase),
- Focus group workshops and fieldwork,
- Data analysis,
- Communication with the local community.

Complete details are available at Sargsyan et al. (2020).

COMPARATIVE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS AND SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF SOUTHEAST TEXAS

The other method used is a complete comparative analysis of financial data of higher education institutions and school districts of Hardin, Jefferson, and Orange counties. The analysis of annual financial reports involves more than just reading financial data. Analysis of the structure of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses, and net position helps to understand the financial health of each institution. The analysis of trends of those items pre and post-Harvey period shows the impact of Hurricane Harvey in the education sector of our region. Understanding statements of net position and statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position of higher education institutions and school districts are essential to reach conclusions about financial resiliency and financial recovery of higher education institutions. A model of comparative financial analysis was designed for and used in this research. This model addresses many questions of the financial analysis of higher education institutions and therefore it can be a useful tool to use in future studies of financial reports of any institution in the education sector. The model is shown in tables 5-8 in the results and discussion section.

APPLICATION OF PARM TO THE EDUCATION SECTOR IN SOUTHEAST TEXAS

This study follows the PARM workflow. The **first step** was to set the research goal. The main question of this research was to analyze and identify the risk management practices that educational institutions implemented during Hurricane Harvey. Based on the research goal, focus group questions were developed:

- What risks/problems did you experience during Hurricane Harvey?
- What were the most successful risk management strategies that you/your group used during Hurricane Harvey? Why?
- Were there risk management strategies that were not as successful that were used during Hurricane Harvey? Why?
- How did you manage the recovery process? What risks or challenges did you encounter during recovery?
- What role did technology (including communications) play in the Hurricane Harvey response? Which were the most critical technologies? What do you see as the role of technology in the future?
- What would you like to see happen if there is ever another hurricane in Southeast Texas and what steps will help us to move in that direction?

Figure 1 shows the education sector's value chain for Southeast Texas. Value chain analysis helps identify the main stakeholders of the education sector. Among these are Lamar University, Lamar Institute of Technology, Lamar State College-Port Arthur, Lamar State College-Orange, seven Independent School Districts of Jefferson County, five public school districts of Hardin County, five Public School Districts of Orange County, and various private education institutions. A representative group of the sector was selected to participate in focus group workshops.

In addition to the main players of this sector, we also met with significant local stakeholders and the broad community, including government officials (county judge, first responders, city and local government emergency management personnel), ports, waterways and transportation, public community organizations (Lower Neches Valley Authority, South East Texas Regional Planning Commission, Greater Beaumont Chamber of Commerce), representatives of oil and gas industry, health care providers (hospitals, long-range care facilities), and prisons and law enforcement. The findings shown later in this work combine the observations of the education sector players with observations about education from the rest of the community outlined above.

Job market

EDUCATION SECTOR'S VALUE CHAIN ANALYSIS IN SOUTHEAST TEXAS FIGURE 1

Elementary	Middle	High	
school	school	school	

year		
	ions	cal ed.)
Two	institutions	(technical

University: undergraduate

University: graduate

School education

Higher education

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hurricane Harvey and Enrollment in Education Institutions of Southeast Texas

The main institutions of the education sector of Hardin, Jefferson, and Orange counties of Southeast Texas are Lamar University, Lamar Institute of Technology, Lamar State College-Port Arthur, Lamar State College-Orange, seven Independent School Districts of Jefferson County, five public school districts of Hardin County, five Public School Districts of Orange County and various private education institutions. The following table shows the enrollment in the higher education institutions in Southeast Texas.

TABLE 2 ENROLLMENT (HEADCOUNT) IN HIGHER EDUCATION OF HARDIN, JEFFERSON, AND ORANGE COUNTIES OF SOUTHEAST TEXAS

Institution	Fall 2015	% change (15/14)	Fall 2016	% change (16/15)	Fall 2017	% change (17/16)	Fall 2018	% change (18/17)	Fall 2019	% change (19/18)
Doctorate-										
granting										
University										
Lamar										
University	14,494	0	14,391	-1	13,929	-3	14,176	1.8	14,811	4
Headcount										
Associates										
Colleges										
Lamar Institute of Technology	2,846	5	2,757	-3	2,983	8	3,260	9.3	4,011	23
Lamar State College-Orange	2,318	3	2,338	1	2,293	-2	2,350	2.5	2,395	2
Special Focus										
Two-Year										
Institution										
Lamar State										
College-Port	1,802	-13	2,051	14	2,293	12	2,413	5.2	2,710	12
Arthur										

Source: TSUS Board of Regents Meeting Materials Feb. 2015, Feb. 2016, Feb. 2017, Feb. 2018, Feb. 2019, TSUS Certified Enrollment Reports

TABLE 3
ENROLLMENT (STUDENT CREDIT HOURS) IN HIGHER EDUCATION OF HARDIN,
JEFFERSON, AND ORANGE COUNTIES OF SOUTHEAST TEXAS

Institution	Fall 2014- Summer 2015	% change	Fall 2015- Summe r 2016	% change	Fall 2016- Summer 2017	% change	Fall 2017- Summer 2018	% change	Fall 2018- Summer 2019	% change
Lamar University	359,067	5	352,763	-1.8	338,796	-4	334,805	-1.2	350,979	4.9
Lamar Institute of Technolog	58,259	-2	60,138	3.2	57,586	-4	59,862	4	63,321	5.8
Lamar State College- Orange	46,437	-3	46,350	-0.2	45,588	-1.7	44,329	-2.8	43,286	-2.4
Lamar State College- Port Arthur	41,909	-16	40,643	-3	43,822	7.8	44,441	1.4	50,294	13.2

Source: TSUS Board of Regents Meeting Materials Feb. 2015, Feb. 2016, Feb. 2017, Feb. 2018, Feb. 2019, TSUS Certified Enrollment Reports

As can be seen, enrollment, both in headcount and student credit hours, was affected temporarily by Hurricane Harvey, but higher education institutions of the region were able to recover and return to patterns of growth, demonstrating resiliency. As can be observed from table 4 below, all institutions experienced a loss of student credit hours from Spring 2017 (before Harvey) to Spring 2018 (after Harvey). The change is noticeable in the spring but not in the fall because Harvey occurred at the beginning of the Fall semester after enrollment had taken place. Hurricane Harvey caused significant devastation which resulted in students not being able to matriculate for the spring semester.

Table 4 helps to analyze the enrollment in each school district of the Southeast Texas region. As in the previous table, this one also captures the pre-and post-Harvey period. As expected, enrollment in school districts was not affected by the storms. This occurs because school attendance is a legal requirement and so enrolment depends only on the population of the district. There was no substantial change in the population of Southeast Texas as a result of Hurricane Harvey.

ENROLLMENT (HEADCOUNT) IN SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF HARDIN, JEFFERSON, AND ORANGE COUNTIES OF SOUTHEAST TEXAS TABLE 4

18-19/17-18 % cpsnge	0.4	-2.0	1.3	1.4	-1.9	-1.1	-1.0	-2.1	0.2	4.1	-3.4	0.5	0.0
980645 % 71-18/16-17	1.8	-1.2	1.9	3.0	2.3	0.4	-1.5	-1.8	5.1	-0.4	2.6	6.9-	2.2
% change % change	0.7	-0.8	-0.1	3.4	0.6	-3.9	0.02	-0.1	1.0	0.1	1.0	-0.8	0.9
-51 9gange 15- 16/14-15	-0.2	-3.6	<i>L</i> :0	-1.3	2.8	0.2	-0.4	-1.1	1.8	1.2	-0.1	8.0-	1.4
-41 9gnsge 14- 15/13-14	1.0	9.0-	1.8	1.8	-1.8	1.2	-0.2	-2.1	3.1	5.9	3.4	-1.0	1.2
% in school district	100	11.4	40.5	29.8	12.8	5.59	100	44.2	4.63	5.6	12.5	19.9	12.3
2018-	9,976	1,136	4,037	2,968	1,277	558	41,778	18,470	1,934	2,341	5,207	8,319	5136.0
loodos ni % toirtsib	100	12	40	29	13	9	100	44.7	4.57	5.33	12.8	19.6	12.2
2017-	9,939	1,159	3,986	2,928	1,302	564	42,213	18,858	1,931	2,248	5,388	8,280	5,136
% in school district	100	12	40	29	13	9	100	45	4	5	12	21	12
2016-	9,763	1,173	3,911	2,844	1,273	562	42,856	19,204	1,838	2,257	5,254	8,898	5025.0
% in school district	100	12	40	28	13	9	100	45	4	5	12	21	12
2015-	6,697	1,182	3,915	2,750	1,265	585	42,848	19,232	1,819	2,254	5,203	8,972	4,982
% in school district	100	13	40	29	13	9	100	45	4	5	12	21	11
2014-	9,716	1,226	3,889	2,787	1,230	584	43,012	19,453	1,786	2,228	5,207	9,045	4,914
School District	Hardin County Public School Districts	Kountze	Lumberton	Silsbee	Warren	West Hardin	Jefferson County ISDs	Beaumont	Hamshire- Fannett	Hardin- Jefferson	Nederland	Port Arthur	Port Neches- Groves

Sabine Pass	379	1	386	1	380	1	372	0.88	371	0.89	-0.5	1.8	-1.6	-2.1	-0.3
Orange County Public School Districts	15,234		100 15,325	100	15,334	100	14,884	100	15,088	100	0.2	9.0	0.1	-2.9	1.4
Bridge City	2,818	18	2,887	19	2,953	19	2,988	20.1	3,057	20.3	0.8	2.4	2.3	1.2	2.3
Little Cypress- Mauriceville	3,342	22	3,377	22	3,355	22	3,153	21.2	3,170	21	1.3	1.0	-0.7	-6.0	0.5
Orangefield	1,782	12	1,762	11	1,759	11	1,787	12	1,848	12.2	1.1	-1.1	-0.2	1.6	3.4
Vidor	4,881	32	4,868	32	4,818	31	4,552	30.6	4,548	30.1	-1.5	-0.3	-1.0	-5.5	-0.1
West Orange - Cove	2,411	16	2,431	16	2,449	16	2,404	16.2	2,465	16.3	0.8	0.8	0.7	-1.8	2.5

Source: Texas Education Agency, Student Enrollment Data for 2013-2014, 2014-2015, 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018, 2018-20

IN-DEPTH INTERVIEWS WITH ADMINISTRATORS OF EDUCATION/EDUCATIONAL **INSTITUTIONS**

In-depth interviews were conducted with higher education institutions. The research team organized focus group workshops and interviews with the key stakeholders in Southeast Texas to discuss resiliency and recovery in the aftermath of Harvey. This helped to discover and elicit the risk management practices used by the education sector. The following are the findings of PARM methodology for campus closure:

Preparedness to Hurricanes and Storms

- Educational institutions follow the National Hurricane Center's updates and instructions.
- Some of the institutions had a subscription to StormGeo service to have additional weather forecasting information.
- At the end of spring some institutions meet internally to update emergency plans for the season.
- Educational institutions have manuals and policies to manage these risks.
- These risk management documents also state "who is in charge of what" in case of natural disasters.

Risks Related to Harvey

- The rain came fast and intensively so most of the institutions could not keep up.
- Montagne Center converted to an evacuation center providing shelter to 500+ evacuees from the
- Red Cross was not able to manage the shelter in the first 24 hours. Temporarily Lamar University assumed a management role, including provided food to all evacuees.
- In total there were around 1400 people (students and evacuees from the city) on campus.
- City water shut down complicated the situation as campus needed potable and non-potable water.

Practices of Risk Management and Lessons from Harvey

- Emergency teams stayed on campus around the clock during Hurricane Harvey to manage the risk more efficiently.
- During the time when the campus was impacted by the water shut down, the administration was able to deliver water via tanker.
- Educational institutions have plans to purchase diesel generators for buildings to avoid risks of electricity interruptions in future disasters.
- Educational institutions revised various policies and included response strategies to events like Harvey.
- Online software/apps provide an opportunity to continue university services.
- IT servers are installed in specific locations away from campus to offer protection from hurricanes and other natural disasters.

The next section presents the results of the PARM methodology during campus opening:

Post-Hurricane Recovery

- Legislative appropriation requests during the 86th Regular Session provided educational institutions significant support and financial resources to recover from Hurricane Harvey.
- Texas Senate Bill 500 made supplemental appropriations to public institutions including educational institutions of Southeast Texas.
- Various education institutions have contracts for repairs in place in order to be able to recover quickly if natural disasters damage campuses.

Risks Related to Harvey

- Loss of student credit hours led to tuition revenue loss. The loss of tuition revenue was generally short-lived.
- In general, the effect of an event such as Harvey on an academic institution is prolonged, and generally lasts from 3 to 5 years.
- FEMA has special procedures to apply for compensation. The FEMA procedure from application to compensation is slow and time-consuming.
- Many buildings don't have electricity generators.
- Lack of specific financial reserves for natural disasters slows down the recovery.

Practices of Risk Management and Lessons from Harvey

- Drones were acquired to survey of damage assessment across campus.
- Due to water shutdown university rented portable toilets to maintain hygienic conditions on campus
- The company ServicePro has been contracted to assist in restoration for certain damage on campus.
- There are plans to elevate KVLU radio equipment, in order to provide Southeast Texas community objective news without interruptions.

The following explains human resource management during campus closure and campus opening.

Risk Related to Harvey

- Loss of productivity due to educational institutions' decisions to suspend operations.
- Even if employees didn't report to work during natural disasters they still get compensated as administrative leave.
- Overtime payment to employees engaged in recovery efforts (some institutions compensated at the rate of two and a half pay) have been provided.
- Building coordinators don't have incentives to maintain an updated assessment of existing conditions and vulnerabilities of buildings.

Practices of Risk Management and Lessons from Harvey

- Special policies for remote work have been established.
- An incident command center has been established.
- Personnel policies state responsibilities for emergency situations.
- Business continuity plans, risk management policies, procedures, and manuals were revised and now include better approaches to address disasters like hurricanes and tropical depressions.
- Crowdsourcing App has been made available to better manage the crisis and have an additional instant communication channel with employees.

The following presents the **impact on students**:

Risk Related to Harvey

- A temporary decrease in enrollment.
- Risk of not returning school of student population especially taking into consideration that many students are first-generation
- The majority of students were in residential halls and experienced issues to get food and had parking problems due to flooding.
- Parents complained that LU evacuated athletes but not other students from residential halls.
- The design of residential halls makes the buildings vulnerable in events of this magnitude.
- Food shortages in town caused problems to find food for students.

Practices of Risk Management and Lessons from Harvey

- E-learning resources such as Blackboard allow uninterrupted teaching.
- Educational istitutions have engaged in more consistent and proactive communications via messaging, emails, social media and other channels.
- The LiveSafe App has been adopted and its use is encouraged among the university community.
- In order to address students' needs various institutions created surveys to gather information about hurricane impacts.
- Financial aid supported students to overcome financial hardship resulting from Harvey.
- University purchased special vehicle, hired commercial driver to be able to move through campus and address students' needs, this helped to serve cold lunch students and evacuees. These actions helped to provide dinner at night.

RESULTS OF COMPARATIVE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS AND SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF SOUTHEAST TEXAS

TABLE 5
LAMAR UNIVERSITY

		1				1				1		SI	th 017	thousand dollars	lollars 81.
		Fall 2014- Summer 2015	5 2 stəszn ni % 5-1.£ sənnəvə	Fall 2015- Summer 2016	o 2 stəszn ni % 6-1.E sənnəvər	Fall 2016- Summer 2017	2 stəszn ni % 2-1.£ sənnəvər	Fall 2017- Summer 2018	o 2 stəszn ni % 6-1.E sənnəvər	Fall 2018- Summer 2019	stsssp ni % 1.5 sənnəvə	7/97 <i>ə</i> 8uvyə %	//_	/81 ə8uvyə %	/61 ə8uvyə %
Comparative Analysis of Statements of Net Position	$a_{\mathbf{j}}$	ysis of State	ments o	f Net Positio	_										
Total Assets		348,220		362,225		454,420		436,225		423,378		4	25	(4)	(3)
Net Position		258,353	74	277,222	LL	376,663	83	351,127	80	341,953	81	7	36	(7)	(3)
Comparative Analysis of Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position	aly	ysis of State	ments o	f Revenues,	Expens	ses, and Cha	ınges in	Net Positio	u.						
Operating Revenues	I	145,434		149,845		136,713		127,377		131,301		3	(6)	(7)	8
Tuition revenues & fees		126,442	87	136,141	16	124,101	91	114,752	06	120,929	92	8	(6)	(8)	5
Discounts & allowances	1	(15,875)	(11)	(24,905)	(17)	(28,220)	(21)	(28,415)	(22)	(29,362)	(22)	57	13	\vdash	3
Operating expenses		202,943	140	213,347	142	210,208	154	217,578	171	222,317	169	5	(1)	4	2
Operating Income (loss)		(57,510)	(40)	(63,502)	(42)	(73,495)	(54)	(90,202)	(71)	(91,017)	(69)	10	16	23	-
Non-opera- ting revenues		74,053	51	86,233	28	89,037	65	88,067	69	86,940	99	16	3	(1)	(1)
Change in net position	;	11,119	∞	18,863	13	99,399	73	(7,824)	(9)	(9,133)	(7)	70	427	(108)	(17)

Table 5 shows that student credit hours for Lamar University decreased by a modest 1.18% after Harvey. Most of that decrease came in the Spring 2018 semester (5%). However, tuition revenues and fees post-Harvey decreased 8%, a much higher amount than the decrease in student credit hours. There is no clear explanation for why this happened. From the detailed analysis of the balance sheet, the conclusion is that there was no substantial impact from Harvey on assets and net position. The ratio of net position to assets remains around 80% post-Hervey. Although there have been increases in operating expenses post-Harvey, the increases are not unusual for the history of the institution. Comparative analysis of statements of revenues and expenses demonstrates a \$7.5 mln increase in operating expenses and this increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018. This increase is mostly attributed to scholarships and fellowships, operations and maintenance, institutional support, and student services. The loss before capital contributions, endowments, and transfers increased substantially in FY 2018 and has continued to increase in FY 2019. Before FY 2018 (before Harvey) these figures showed income, even though lower than in the past. After Harvey as losses have occurred. The magnitude of the changes is much larger than the limited and short-lived decreases in student credit hours.

As shown in Table 6 the student credit hours for the Lamar Institute of Technology did not decrease after Harvey. There was a small decline in Spring 2018 followed by a substantial increase in Summer 2018. Tuition revenues and fees post-Harvey increased by 11%, a much higher amount than the 4% increase in student credit hours. There is no clear explanation for why this happened. From the detailed analysis of the balance sheet, the conclusion is that there was no substantial impact from Harvey on assets and net position. The ratio of net position to assets remains around 80% post-Hervey. There have been minor increases in operating expenses post Harvey. The income before other revenues, expenses, gains/losses, and transfers increased in FY 2018 and has continued to increase in FY 2019. Before FY 2018 (before Harvey) these figures showed losses. The magnitude and direction of the changes are much larger than the limited and short-lived decreases in student credit hours.

TABLE 6
LAMAR INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Fall 2.8 Fall 2.8 Fall 2.017- Fall 2.016- 2.017- Summer 2.017- 2.018- 2.018- 2.017- 2.018- 2.018- 2.017- 2.018- 2.018- 2.017- 2.018- 2.018- 2.018- 2.017- 2.018- 2.018- 2.019-													Sì	tho	nsand	thousand dollars
tition 73 40,381 80 41,411 80 44,655 83 es, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position 8,828 8,366 83 121 10,778 121 11,976 136 12,033 144 (36) (3,278) (37) (4,374) (50) (4,846) (58) (189) (16,883) (190) (17,625) (200) (18,154) (217) (12) 12,296 172 17,669 200 19,017 227 (12) 12,855 144 1,030 12 3,272 39	Fall 2014- Summer 2015 2 & &		\$ 2 sissen ni %	7-I.£ sənnəvər	Fall 2015- Summer 2016		Fall 2016- Summer 2017		Fall 2017- Summer 2018		Fall 2018- Summer 2019		1/91 ə8uvyə %	I/LI ə8uvyə %	I/81 ə8uvyə %	1/61 ə8uvyə %
es, Expenses, and Changes in (36) 51,476 80 44,655 83 121 8,903 8,828 8,366 14,411 80 44,655 83 121 10,778 121 11,976 136 12,033 144 289 25,787 290 26,453 300 26,520 317 1 (189) (16,883) (190) (17,625) (200) (18,154) (217) 1 (15) 1 (15) 1 (15) 1 (15) 1 (15) 227 39 (12) 1 (12,855) 1 (1,030) 1 (12) 1 (12) 3 (12)	Comparative Analysis of Statements of Net Posi	sis of Stateme	me	nts o	f Net Positio											
es, Expenses, and Changes in [8] 41,411 80 44,655 83 121 8,903 8,828 8,366 14,411 (36) (3,278) (11,976) 136 12,033 144 (38) (3,278) (37) (4,374) (50) (4,846) (58) (38) (189) (190) (17,625) (200) (18,154) (217) (18) (15,296) 172 17,669 200 19,017 227 (12) 12,855 144 1,030 12 3,272 39	Total Assets 38,839	38,839			37,637		50,709		51,476		53,877		(3)	35	2	5
Expenses, and Changes in Net Position 8,903 8,828 8,366 144 121 10,778 121 11,976 136 12,033 144 (36) (3,278) (37) (4,374) (50) (4,846) (58) 289 25,787 290 26,453 300 26,520 317 (189) (16,883) (190) (17,625) (200) (18,154) (217) 165 15,296 172 17,669 200 19,017 227 (12) 12,855 144 1,030 12 3,272 39	Net Position 28,603 74		74		27,526	73	40,381	80	41,411	80	44,655	83	(4)	47	3	8
8,942 8,903 8,828 8,366 144 10,779 121 10,778 121 11,976 136 12,033 144 (3,243) (36) (3,278) (37) (4,374) (50) (4,846) (58) 25,820 289 25,787 290 26,453 300 26,520 317 (16,878) (189) (16,883) (190) (17,625) (200) (18,154) (217) 14,725 165 15,296 172 17,669 200 19,017 227 (1,077) (12) 12,855 144 1,030 12 3,272 39	Comparative Analysis of Statements of Revenue	sis of Statemer	mei	ıts o	es,	Expens	es, and Cha	nges in	Net Positio	u,						
10,779 121 10,778 121 11,976 136 12,033 144 (3,243) (36) (3,278) (37) (4,374) (50) (4,846) (58) 25,820 289 25,787 290 26,453 300 26,520 317 (16,878) (189) (16,883) (190) (17,625) (200) (18,154) (217) 14,725 165 15,296 172 17,669 200 19,017 227 (1,077) (12) 12,855 144 1,030 12 3,272 39	Operating 8,741 Revenues	8,741			8,942		8,903		8,828		8,366		2	(0)	(1)	(5)
(3,243) (36) (3,278) (37) (4,374) (50) (4,846) (58) 25,820 289 25,787 290 26,453 300 26,520 317 (16,878) (189) (16,883) (190) (17,625) (200) (18,154) (217) 14,725 165 15,296 172 17,669 200 19,017 227 (1,077) (12) 12,855 144 1,030 12 3,272 39	Tuition revenues & fees 10,753 123		12.	3	10,779	121	10,778	121	11,976	136	12,033	144	0	(0)	11	0
25,820 289 25,787 290 26,453 300 26,520 317 (16,878) (189) (16,883) (190) (17,625) (200) (18,154) (217) 14,725 165 15,296 172 17,669 200 19,017 227 (1,077) (12) 12,855 144 1,030 12 3,272 39	Discounts & (3,251) (37)		(37	((3,243)	(36)	(3,278)	(37)	(4,374)	(20)	(4,846)	(88)	(0)	1	33	11
(16,878) (189) (16,883) (190) (17,625) (200) (18,154) (217) 14,725 165 15,296 172 17,669 200 19,017 227 (1,077) (12) 12,855 144 1,030 12 3,272 39	Operating 24,213 277 expenses		27	7	25,820	289	25,787	290	26,453	300	26,520	317	L	(0)	3	0
165 15,296 172 17,669 200 19,017 227 (12) 12,855 144 1,030 12 3,272 39	Operating (15,472) (177)		(17	7)	(16,878)	(189)	(16,883)	(190)	(17,625)	(200)	(18,154)	(217)	6	0	4	3
(12) 12,855 144 1,030 12 3,272 39	Non-opera- ting revenues 14,763 169		16	6	14,725	165	15,296	172	17,669	200	19,017	227	(0)	4	16	8
	Change in net 968 1	968 1	1	1	(1,077)	(12)	12,855	144	1,030	12	3,272	39	(211)	*1	(92)	(218)

*1 (1,293)

TABLE 7 LAMAR STATE COLLEGE-ORANGE

thousand dollars

81/61 ə8uvyə %		0	2		ж	(3)	(4)	5	7	9	87
₹1/81 э8ирцэ %		1	1		(2)	2	15	5	10	9	(95
9 <u>1/</u> 21 ə8uvyə %	-	24	25		(2)	8	5	(0)	1	(4)	54
\$[/9] ə8uvyə %	-	S	4		(0)	(2)	(3)	(9)	(6)	(9)	17
& 2 sissen ni % 7-1.£ ssunsver	-		92			111	(43)	276	(176	181	14
Fall 2018- Summer 2019		65,693	60,229		7,631	8,491	(3,292)	21,084	(13,452)	13,802	1,064
& 2 stəszn ni % 7-1.£ sənnəvər	_		06	uoi		117	(46)	269	(169	176	∞
Fall 2017- Summer 2018		65,678	59,165	n Net Positi	7,442	8,722	(3,418)	20,049	(12,608)	13,076	695
& 2 siszzn ni % 7-1.£ zsunsvsr			06	anges ii		113	(39)	251	(151)	162	154
Fall 2016- Summer 2017		64,952	58,613	ses, and Ch	7,600	8,558	(2,974)	19,060	(11,460)	12,336	11,688
& 2 siszzn ni % 7-1.£ zsunsvsr	lon		68	, Expen		102	(37)	246	(146	165	23
Fall 2015- Summer 2016	of Net Position	52,581	46,925	f Revenues	7,766	7,902	(2,836)	19,097	(11,331)	12,845	1,806
& 2 siszzn ni % 7-1.£ zsunsvsr	ments (06	ments o		103	(37)	260	(160	175	20
Fall 2014- Summer 2015	ysis of State	50,184	45,119	ysis of State	7,804	8,073	(2,913)	20,309	(12,506)	13,674	1,539
	Comparative Analysis of Statements of Ne	Total Assets	Net Position	Comparative Analysis of Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position	Operating Revenues	Tuition reve- nues & fees	Discounts & allowances	Operating expenses	Operating Income (loss)	Non-opera- ting revenues	Change in net position
	Cc	1	2	ပိ	3	3	3	4	N.	9	7

Student credit hours for Lamar State College-Orange decreased by 2.78% after Harvey, and decreases have continued ever since. Most of the decrease in FY 2018 came in the Spring 2018 semester (6%). However, tuition revenues and fees post-Harvey increased by 2%. There is no clear explanation for why this happened. From the detailed analysis of the balance sheet, the conclusion is that there was no substantial impact from Harvey on assets and net position. The ratio of net position to assets remains around 90% post-Hervey. There was an increase in operating expenses post-Harvey, followed by another increase in the following year. The income before other revenues, expenses, gains/losses, and transfers decreased substantially in FY 2018 and has continued to decrease in FY 2019. The magnitude of the changes is much larger than the limited and short-lived decreases in student credit hours.

TABLE 8
LAMAR STATE COLLEGE-PORT ARTHUR

thousand dollars

81/61 ə8uvyə %		~	36		15	15	0	9	63	2	*3
		3	2		1.	1.	20	· ·	2	12	_
71/81 9gnado %		(2)	0		2	3	(0)	4	5	(5)	(66)
91/L1 ə8uvyə %		40	41		(1)	15	18	2	4	11	7*
SI/91 ə8uvyə %		1	3		(10)	(0)	16	(5)	(2)	(3)	(245)
& 2 stəszn ni % 7-1.£ sənnəvər			98			130	(48)	311	(211)	228	24
Fall 2018- Summer 2019		43,743	37,645		7,953	10,309	(3,836)	24,773	(16,820)	18,161	1,923
& 2 stəszn ni % 7-1.£ sənnəvər			84	u		129	(46)	339	(239)	234	2
Fall 2017- Summer 2018		42,389	35,722	Expenses, and Changes in Net Position	6,921	8,943	(3,185)	23,434	(16,513)	16,223	153
& 2 stəszn ni % 7-1.£ sənnəvər			82	anges in		128	(47)	332	(232)	251	153
Fall 2016- Summer 2017		43,253	35,569	es, and Cha	6,789	8,709	(3,198)	22,568	(15,778)	17,046	10,405
& 2 stəszn ni % 7-1.£ sənnəvər	_		82	Expens		110	(39)	320	(220)	223	6
Fall 2015- Summer 2016	Net Position	30,795	25,164	nes,	6,889	7,553	(2,717)	22,034	(15,144)	15,354	619
& 2 stəszn ni % 7-1.£ sənnəvər	nents of		80	nents of		66	(31)	303	(203)	206	(9)
Fall 2014- Summer 2015	is of Staten	30,540	24,546	is of Staten	7,640	7,570	(2,348)	23,130	(15,489)	15,748	(42)
	Comparative Analysis of Statements of Net P	Total Assets	Net Position	Comparative Analysis of Statements of Rever	Operating Revenues	Tuition reve- nues & fees		Operating expenses	Operating Income (loss)	Non-opera- ting revenues	Change in net position
	$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{c}}$	1	2	ပိ	3	3	E	4	ĸ	9	7

 *1 1,582, *3 1,157

Student credit hours for Lamar State College-Port Arthur increased by a modest 1.41% after Harvey. The pattern of decreasing student credit hours for Spring 2018 (6%) is also present as it was in other institutions from the region. Tuition revenues and fees post-Harvey increased 3%, a higher amount than the increase in student credit hours. There is no clear explanation for why this happened. From the detailed analysis of the balance sheet, the conclusion is that there was no substantial impact from Harvey on assets and net position. The ratio of net position to assets remains around 85% post-Hervey. Although there have been increases in operating expenses post-Harvey, the increases are not unusual for the history of the institution. The income before other revenues, expenses, gains/losses, and transfers decreased substantially in FY 2018 becoming a loss. The income before other revenues, expenses, gains/losses, and transfers recovered in FY 2019 (after Harvey) to levels of FY 2017 (before Harvey). The magnitude of the changes is much larger than the limited and short-lived decreases in student credit hours.

The final step in the PARM methodology is communication with the local community. To that end, the results of this work have resulted in reports, research papers, and conference presentations available to stakeholders on the website for the project (https://www.lamar.edu/resilience-recovery/recovery-and-resiliency-grant/index.html). In addition, the Recovery and Resiliency Summit: Building Tomorrow (August 2020) was sponsored in part by this project.

CONCLUSION

According to the National Hurricane Center, hurricanes and storms are frequent in Southeast Texas. It is a reality that Southeast Texas is under recurring risk of natural disasters. These risks may have a significant negative impact on recruiting and retention of students in the educational institutions of Southeast Texas. This means that educational institutions in Southeast Texas are going to constantly deal with such events. This research shows that the education sector of Southeast Texas was able to demonstrate resiliency and recover from Harvey. Better preparation and strategic planning are key to the education sector's resiliency and recovery, in Southeast Texas and elsewhere.

Harvey didn't affect the financial health or stability of the educational institutions of Southeast Texas. The results presented in this work show that Hurricane Harvey did indeed affect student credit hours. The effect was about a 5-6% reduction and it was short-lived. The reductions occurred in the semester following Harvey (Spring 2018). By the Fall semester of 2018, student credit hours had returned to levels before Harvey at three of the institutions of higher education. The student credit hours at the remaining institution have not returned to pre-Harvey levels. However, the magnitude of the financial changes of all institutions is much larger than the limited and short-lived decreases in student credit hours.

Despite the short-lived enrollment declines, in general, the effect of an event such as Harvey on an academic institution is prolonged. This is related in part to the role that FEMA plays in the reimbursement of expenses. FEMA has special procedures to apply for compensation. The FEMA procedure from application to compensation is slow and time-consuming.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to administrators of educational institutions of Southeast Texas region for collaboration and participation in focus groups workshops, to the U.S. Department of Commerce Economic Development Administration for funding "Lamar University Economic Recovery and Resiliency Program", to Lamar University's Center for Innovation Commercialization & Entrepreneurship (CICE) for support of this research grant, to Nanda Vardhan Muppidi for research assistance.

REFERENCES

- Amadeo, K. (2019). Hurricane Harvey facts, damage and costs what made Harvey so devastating. Retrieved from https://www.thebalance.com/.
- Aon Benfield. (2018). Hurricane Harvey event recap report.
- Blakely, E.J., & Bradshaw, T.K. (2002). Planning local economic development: theory and practice. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.
- Cassiday, L. (2018). Lessons learned from hurricane Harvey. Retrieved from http://www.aocs.org/.
- Greater Beaumont chamber of commerce. (n.d.). Retrieved from http://www.bmtcoc.org/.
- Hegar, G. (2018). A storm to remember: hurricane Harvey and the Texas economy. Texas comptroller of public accounts.
- Holmes, F. (2017). We looked into the effects of hurricane Harvey and here's what we found. Retrieved from https://forbes.com/.
- Meyer-Stamer, J. (2004). Regional value chain initiatives: an opportunity for the application of the PACA approach. Mesopartner Partnergesellschaft.
- Meyer-Stamer, J. (2006). Participatory appraisal of competitive advantage (PACA): effectively launching local economic development initiatives. Mesopartner Partnergesellschaft.
- Meyer-Stamer, J., Harmes-Liedtke, U., & Schoen, C. (2005). How to conduct a PACA Exercise. Duisburg. Oyedele, A. (2017). Hurricane Harvey could be the costliest natural disaster in US history - here's how we'll know the true cost. Retrieved from https://www.businessinsider.com/
- Porter, M.E. (1990). The competitive advantage of nations. *Harvard Business Review*, 68(2).
- Porter, M.E. (2000). Location, competition, and economic development: local clusters in a global economy. Economic Development Quarterly, 14(1).
- Ramchand, L., & Krishnamoorti, R. (2017). What Harvey taught us: Lessons from the energy industry, University of Houston energy fellows.
- Sargsyan, G., Slaydon, J., Venta, E.R., Colon, R., Latiolais, P. (2020) Analysis of Risk Management Practices of the Oil and Gas Industry in Southeast Texas During Hurricane Harvey, Journal of *Applied Business and Economics*, 22(12), forthcoming.
- Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts web page. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://comptroller.texas.gov/.
- Texas Workforce Commission. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://twc.texas.gov/
- Torry, H., & Chaney, S. (2018). Big storms leave small marks on the U.S. economy. Retrieved from https://wsj.com/.
- U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of Economic Analysis. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://www.bea.gov/.
- U.S. Federal Emergency Management Agency. (2018). Hurricane Harvey: county update. FEMA Articles.
- U.S. FEMA. (2019). Texas Recovery Hurricane Harvey: partnership and progress. FEMA Articles.
- World Bank. (2003). Local economic development (LED). World Bank Urban Development Unit.